



General

Information Security

DD/A Registry
2-1-6379/21500
File

Se 2es


Oversight

Approved For Release 2005/12/01 : CIA-RDP93B01194R001200070004-8

Administration Office

Washington, DC 20405

May 1, 1984



Mr. Harry E. Fitzwater
Deputy Director for Administration
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, DC 20505

Dear Mr. Fitzwater:

Section 5.2(b)(6) of Executive Order 12356 requires the Information Security Oversight Office (ISOO) to consider and take action on complaints and suggestions from persons within or outside the Government with respect to the administration of the information security program of each agency monitored by ISOO. ISOO has received the enclosed correspondence from Donald A. Ritchie, Associate Historian, United States Senate.

Section 1.6(c) of Executive Order 12356 provides that only an agency head may reclassify information previously declassified and disclosed if it is determined in writing that (1) the information requires protection in the interest of national security; and (2) the information may reasonably be recovered. These reclassification actions must be reported promptly to the Director of ISOO. To date, ISOO has not been notified of the reclassification actions taken by the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) on the matter mentioned in Mr. Ritchie's letter.

I request that the CIA review the enclosed correspondence and brief ISOO on the reclassification actions and other concerns raised by Mr. Ritchie. The Senate publication mentioned in Mr. Ritchie's letter is

STAT

copy of the publication has been provided to ISOO but is not included in the enclosed correspondence.

Thank you for your continued cooperation. If you have any questions concerning the issues being addressed, please call me at 535-7251.

Sincerely,

STEVEN GARFINKEL
Director

Enclosures

United States Senate

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

HISTORICAL OFFICE

APR 24

23 April 1984

Z

Mr. Steven Garfinkle
Division of Information Security Oversight
General Services Administration
Washington, D.C. 20405

Dear Mr. Garfinkle:

At the recent meeting of the Society for History in the Federal Government you surprised me with your comment that all agency decisions to reclassify material must be reported to your office. For the past year I have been working with the Senate Foreign Relations Committee as it dealt with agency demands for reclassification, and neither I nor the committee staff involved had any idea that your office played a role in the issue.

I am enclosing a copy of the volume [redacted] in our series of executive session transcripts of the committee. This project began in 1973, but not until [redacted] was any material deleted at the request of an executive branch agency--in that case the Central Intelligence Agency. Since then the requests for deletions have increased at a steady pace, and from a growing number of agencies.

We had anticipated speedy publication of [redacted] since many of the key transcripts had been previously declassified for individual researchers, and were already available for purchase through a commercial microfilm company (University Publications of America, Inc., in Frederick, Maryland). But two agencies, the Department of State and the CIA, requested that material from these transcripts be reclassified and not included in our published volume. Informed that the transcripts had been microfilmed and were beyond the committee's control, the Department of State withdrew its request, but the CIA insisted upon the deletions. Considerable correspondence and discussions followed, involving both issues of declassification and reclassification.

[redacted]

23 April 1984
page 2


In light of this saga, I hope you can satisfy my curiosity: Did the CIA report these reclassified items to your office? And did these examples of reclassification meet the standards you observe?

Considering your comment on how few cases of reclassification have been reported so far, I suspect a negative answer to the first question. Considering the impossibility of recalling the microfilmed transcripts, I certainly hope the second question would also be answered in the negative.

Presumably we will face this problem again for future volumes in the series. Although you noted that your office does not serve as an appeals court, can the committee at least consult with you over the appropriateness of agency requests for reclassification?

With best regards.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Donald A. Ritchie". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Donald" being more prominent and the last name "Ritchie" following in a similar style.

Donald A. Ritchie

STAT

Approved For Release 2005/12/01 : CIA-RDP93B01194R001200070004-8

Approved For Release 2005/12/01 : CIA-RDP93B01194R001200070004-8

Kindly direct all orders and inquiries to:

UNIVERSITY PUBLICATIONS OF AMERICA, INC.

44 North Market Street

Frederick, Maryland 21701

(301) 694-0100

UNIVERSITY PUBLICATIONS OF AMERICA, INC.
44 North Market Street, Frederick, MD 21701

BULK RATE
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
FREDERICK, MD.
PERMIT NO. 171